



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,971	10/618,971 07/14/2003		Richard J. Dibbs	17306/107	5927
26646	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON	VAN, QUANG T		
ONE BROA NEW YORI		0004	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3742	
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/618,971	DIBBS, RICHARD J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Quang T Van	3742		

	Quang T Van	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The state of the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition.	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued				
 a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	is of the date of filing	the Notice of				
AMENDMENTS	•						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>50-56,86-97 and 100</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>98 and 99</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	·						
13. Other: The amended claims 98-99 have deleted the phrase "a preheater configured to preheat the in-shell egg". However, the Ball et al (US 6,455,094) reference still meet the claimed limitations, for example, such as (h) a cooler configured to cool the in-shell							
egg (see Ball's reference, col. 14, lines 35-48).	_	Quantla	n				
		Quang T Van Primary Examiner	_				
		Art Unit: 3742					